Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/621,946	DILIP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3695
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>		
(1) <u>Narayanswamy Subramanian</u> .	(3)	
(2) Barbara B. Courtney (Reg. No 42,442).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 July 2010</u>	Time: <u>8:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, second paragraph		
Claims discussed: 85-94		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Narayanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/621,946

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian discussed potential 112, second paragraph rejections in the proposed new claims filed on July 14, 2010 and suggested claim language to overcome the rejections. Attorney Courtney requested the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.